On 10/06/17, the revised **09/28/17 version** of the proposal for the Institute for Senior Living has been Approved by the Budget Committee. We have 10 voting members. Final vote is 9 in support, 0 against, 1 abstained.

Two comments have been made that are informational for the subsequent committees/Senate to vote on this proposal (and will be made available for the author as well). These two comments are:

1. I am conflicted because I like the proposal, but I do not feel that it justifies the budgetary support of the college of business. I do like the proposal because it is relatively unique and should provide benefits for students and faculty. Having served on the board of a continuous care retirement community for several years, I think that it is also well-timed because the industry is currently at a high point due to demographics, so this is probably the best time to raise the funds. It also sets the institute up for research and to support its programs when demographic changes cause the industry to contract in the next decade. However, I am concerned about the budgetary support from the college of business because I am unsure why the administrative support position is necessary and if so why the institute does not plan to fund it from its endowment. I am curious about the necessity of the position because I know that the Hoops Institute receives administrative support from the accounting department and so does not have a separate administrator. If the position is necessary, I would assume that it will continue on indefinitely, and so I would like to know if the institute intends to become entirely self-sufficient in this regard in the event that the legacy gift included in the budget does come to fruition.

2. I would like to note that the plan for an interdisciplinary major will require separate consideration, which they probably do realize. With that in mind it will be important for their long-term planning to develop a strong plan for assessing student learning and for faculty involvement in that process, including how it will be led and resourced, as well as outreach to departments that will need to provide prerequisite and potential cognate courses as has been noted. This goes beyond the scope of budgetary considerations but does have budgetary implications and may be useful to communicate. Regarding the concern [above], I would expect that an interdisciplinary proposal would have resourcing commitments from leadership representing all entities participating, not just from CCOB.

Thank you.
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