The Faculty Senate was called to order by the Chair, Robert Greenberg, Thursday, September 17, 1998, in at 3:40 p.m. Fifty-two (52) members were present, twenty one (21) members were absent with nine (9) vacancies. Five (5) non voting member were present (See attached)

Minutes of April 30, 1998 Meeting were approved as circulated.

Announcements (Information Items)

1. Faculty Senate officers and the Provost met July 14, August 21, and September 2, 1998.

2. Faculty Senate officers met with President Smith on August 5, 1998.

3. Responses from Provost Bataille on Faculty Senate Actions of April 30, 1998, Exhibit B is as follows:

May 20, 1998

TO: Faculty Senate
FROM: Gretchen M. Bataille
Provost
SUBJECT: Faculty Senate Actions

The actions taken by the Faculty Senate Action at its April 30, 1998, meeting are noted as follows:

1. The following resolution is noted. Be it resolved: That the Faculty Senate of Washington State University abhors the sentiments expressed April 13 in the CUB auditorium by the speaker on the Holocaust, most especially the implications that the victims were themselves responsible for their fate.

2. The following recommendation from Organization and Structure Committee for Faculty Senate Steering Committee Summer Authority is approved.

In addition to the duties described in the Senate Constitution, Article III, Section 3.E. 1, the Steering Committee will carry out all advisory functions of the Senate during the summer or any interval of three weeks or more when classes are not held during the academic year. Any such action by the Steering Committee requires that a meeting of the Steering Committee have at least six members and that at least 75 percent of the members present vote for the action. Any exercise of this general authority shall be reported by the Executive Secretary to the Faculty Senate at its next meeting.
3. The Faculty Senate officers for 1998-99 are noted as follows:

   Chair: Robert Greenberg  
   Vice Chair: Peter Burke  
   Executive Secretary: Thomas Brigham  
   Legislative Rep: Matthew Carroll

4. The recommendation from Academic Affairs Committee for Name Change from Honors Program to the University Honors College is approved.

5. The recommendation from the Steering Committee for Revisions of Rule 68 and 69 the Withdrawal Policy is approved as follows:

   68. WITHDRAWAL FROM A COURSE BETWEEN THE 5TH WEEK AND THE END OF THE 9TH WEEK. A student may, with the payment of a service fee, withdraw from a course between the 5th week and the end of the 9th week with a grade of W. For undergraduates who enter WSU in fall 1998 or later, the maximum number of WSU withdrawals is 6, not counting withdrawals that result from the cancellation of enrollment. After the 6th withdrawal, a student may in exceptional circumstances submit a petition through the student's major department (usually through the advisor) for additional withdrawals to be exempted from this limit of 6 withdrawals. The petition will be reviewed by the dean's office of the student's college within two weeks of submission and a final decision to approve or deny the petition will be completed. The petition must be filed by the end of the term in which the course was taken.

   69. WITHDRAWAL FROM A COURSE AFTER THE 9TH WEEK OF A SEMESTER. Withdrawal from a course after the 9th week of a semester is available under the following conditions: (a) Withdrawal may be granted for a course for which a passing grade is being earned if withdrawal is recommended by the Director of Health and Wellness Services as a result of illness, or if withdrawal is recommended by the academic dean of the unit in which the course is taught, because of other documented extenuating circumstances. (b) From the end of the 9th week through the last day of instruction, new undergraduate students working towards their first WSU degree may be eligible to use an uncontested course withdrawal. (c) Two uncontested course withdrawals are allowed in the course of earning a bachelors degree. (d) The grade shall be marked W, and the service fee shall be mandatory. (e) For undergraduates who enter WSU in fall 1998 or later, the maximum number of WSU withdrawals is 6, not counting withdrawals that result from the cancellation of enrollment. Only 2 of these withdrawals may come after the ninth week of the semester. After the 6th withdrawal, a student may in exceptional circumstances submit a Petition through the student's major department (usually through the advisor) for additional withdrawals to be exempted from this limit of 6 withdrawals. The petition will be reviewed by the dean's office of the student's college within two weeks of submission and a final decision to approve or deny the petition will be completed. The petition must be filed by the end of the term in which the course was taken.
6. The recommendation from Academic Affairs Committee for Undergraduate and Professional Major Change Bulletin #1 and 2 and Addendum #1 is approved.

7. The recommendation from Academic Affairs Committee for a BA in Public Affairs at WSU Vancouver is approved.

8. The recommendation from Graduate Studies Committee for Graduate Major Change Bulletin #1 is approved.

9. The approved request from the Provost's Office to suspend the new Rule 66 for Fall 1998 semester is noted.

cc: K. DePauw  E. Madison   K. Kravas   S. Smith  
    K. Casavant  E. Renfro   K. Boehmke   M. Nielsen  
    J. Sherman  C. Kravas   T. Purce

*****

4. Year end reports from Faculty Senate Committees are in Exhibit C is as follows:

MEMORANDUM
TO: Bob Greenberg, Chair Faculty Senate
FROM: Becky Bitter, Academic Governance Coordinator
FOR: Academic Affairs Committee (AAC)
DATE: 24 August 1998
SUBJECT: Academic Affairs Committee Annual Report, 1997-1998

As in past years, the Academic Affairs Committee (AAC) was involved continually with reviewing university-wide undergraduate academic issues and making recommendations to the Faculty Senate. The matters reviewed by the AAC and forwarded to the Faculty Senate for action this past year fit into three general categories: Revisions to Academic Rules, Policy Issues, and Curricular Changes. Following is a listing of the items forwarded to the Faculty Senate for approval, under those general headings.

At the end of the report, please note a listing of those pending and present items that will be placed on the agenda for the committee's consideration this fall.

Further information about any item discussed by the AAC this past academic year can be found through consulting the AAC minutes, available in the Registrar's Office and in the Senate Office.

In addition to the work of the full committee, individual members represented the AAC on the following Senate committees and AAC subcommittees: Academic Advising and Reinstatement, Admissions, Budget, Catalog, Extended University Affairs, Planning Review, and Senate Steering. These groups generated many of the matters brought before the AAC for action this year.

Cc: AAC members D. Branson
    M. Nielsen  M. Kallaher
AAC Annual Report, 1997-1998

Revisions to Academic Rules
Rule 6, Transfer Credit
Rule 1, Credit to High School Students for Courses Completed Prior to High School Graduation
Rule 54, Minor or Second Major
Rule 66, Adding a Course
Rule 68, Withdrawal from a Course between the 5th Week and the End of the 9th Week
Rule 69, Withdrawal from a Course after the 9th Week of a Semester
Rule 70, Withdrawal from the Institution
Rule 73, Absences
Rule 89, Final Grade Submittal
Rule 90i, Grades and Grade Points/W
Rule 90j, Grades and Grade Points/X
Rule 93, Retention of Final Examinations, Final Projects, and Final Papers
Rule 101
Rule 123, Limit on Flexible Enrollment Credit
Rule 133, President's Honor Roll

Policy Issues
Accountability Plan and Withdrawal Policy
Policies Related to Extended Academic Issues
Senior Transfer Petitions

Curricular Changes
Bachelor of Arts in Business Administration through Extended Academic Programs
Bachelor of Arts in Human Development through Extended Degree Program
Bachelor of Arts in Public Affairs at Vancouver
Bachelor of Arts in Women's Studies
Bachelor of Science in Computer Engineering
Bachelor of Science in Manufacturing Engineering
Bachelor of Science in Natural Resource Science at Vancouver
General Education Committee Recommendations on the Areas of Coherence
General Education Curricular Reform/American Diversity Requirement
Name change of Honors Program to Honors College
Proposal to recognize the WSU faculty at the ICNE as the College of Nursing
School of Accounting, Information Systems, and Business Law
Undergraduate and Professional Major Curricular Changes as compiled on:
Undergraduate and Professional Major Curricular Change Bulletin No. 2
Undergraduate and Professional Major Curricular Change Bulletin No. 3
Undergraduate and Professional Major Curricular Change Bulletin No. 4
Addenda Nos. 1, 2, and 3 to Undergraduate and Professional Major Curricular Change Bulletin No. 4
Undergraduate and Professional Major Curricular Change Bulletin No. 5
Addenda Nos. 1-5 to Undergraduate and Professional Major Curricular Change Bulletin No. 5
Undergraduate and Professional Major Curricular Change Bulletin No. 6
Undergraduate and Professional Major Curricular Change Bulletin No. 7
Undergraduate and Professional Major Curricular Change Bulletin No. 2
Addendum No. 1 to Undergraduate and Professional Major Curricular Change Bulletin No. 2

AAC Present Business for 1998-1999
Bachelor of Arts in Criminal Justice—Statewide
Grays Harbor College Collaborative Teacher Education Program (CTEP)
Proposed Center for Advanced Multiphase Materials Processing
Rule 54
Rule 90h

AAC Pending Business from 1997-1998
Correspondence/Flexible Enrollment Courses
Review of Undergraduate Degree Programs
Rule 104, Academic Complaint Procedures
Rule 140, Student Petition for Exceptions

GRADUATE STUDIES COMMITTEE ANNUAL REPORT 1997-98
Actions taken and items considered by the Graduate Studies Committee during the 1997-98 academic year included the following:

- Initiated a review of the following graduate degree programs:
  - Geology (MS/PhD)
  - Microbiology (MS/PhD)
- Appointed the following subcommittees/task forces:
  - Program Review Guidelines Subcommittee
  - Task Force to Review the Policies and Procedures of the Graduate School
- Completed reviews and recommended continuation of the following graduate degree programs:
  - Mechanical and Materials Engineering (MS in Mechanical Engineering, MS in Materials Science and Engineering, PhD [Mechanical Engineering] - 10/21/97
  - Individual Interdisciplinary Doctoral Program - 10/21/97
  - Plant Pathology (MS/PhD) - 3/10/98
  - Nursing (MN) - 3/24/98
  - Plant Physiology (MS/PhD) - 4/21/98
  - Chemical Engineering (MS/PhD) - 4/21/98
- Recommended approval of the following extended degree programs:
  - MA in Communication to WSU Tri-Cities - 12/2/97 [Approved by Senate 2/12/98 approved by Regents 5/8/98]
  - MS in Architecture to WSU Spokane - 12/2/97 [Approved by Senate 3/5/98; Regents action pending]
  - MA in Interior Design to WSU Spokane - 12/2/97 [Approved by Senate 3/5/98; Regents action pending]
- Recommended approval of the following new degree programs:
  - PhD in Communication - 12/2/97 [Approved by Senate 2/12/98; Regents action pending]
  - Master of Technology Management - 12/2/97 [Approved by Senate 2/12/98; Regents action pending]
-MS in Landscape Architecture at WSU Pullman and WSU Spokane - 2/14/98
[Approved by Senate 4/16/98; Regents action pending]
- Accepted internal reviewer's recommendation to continue the MS and PhD program in Veterinary Clinical Sciences.
- Accepted the academic decision-making matrix regarding the initial approval of certification and certificate programs for credit. - 11/18/98
- Recommended approval of the revision to the Conditions of Program Residency for Doctoral Degrees to include the Doctor of Education (EdD) degree - 2/3/98
[Approved by Senate 4/16/98]
- Recommended approval of a University policy regarding the offering of graduate certificates - 2/24/98 [Approved by Senate 4/16/98]
- Recommended approval of the following academic rule requests: Rule 73C - 10/7/97 [Approved by Senate 2/12/98]
- Held discussion on extended academic issues.
- Held discussion on the question of credit for seminars vs proposal-writing courses.
- Held discussion on the GPSA concerns regarding computing and telephone facilities for graduate students.
- Held discussion on professional vs graduate programs.
- Held discussion on the policy regarding doctoral residency.
- Denied request for Engineering Management Graduate Certificate Program.
- Reviewed the policy concerning review of adjunct faculty. Held discussion, but took no action, on the request to establish a Center for Entrepreneurial Studies [Approved by Senate 11/13/97]
- Approved seven (7) Proposals for interdisciplinary doctoral degrees
- Approved three (3) requests from faculty/staff wishing to pursue graduate degrees
- Approved two (2) requests for reinstatement into a graduate program
- Approved two (2) third extensions of doctoral degree requests
- Approved courses for graduate credit
- The following graduate program reviews are carried over to 1998-99:
  -Engineering Management MEngrM)
  -Biology (MS)
  -Foreign Languages and Literatures (MA)
  -Materials Science (PhD)
  -Business Administration (MBA/PhD)
  -Botany (MS/PhD)
  -Accounting Macct)
  -Geology (MS/PhD)
  -Microbiology (MS/PhD)

TO: Tom Brigham, Faculty Senate Executive Secretary
FROM: Erica Austin, Chair, Legislative Affairs Subcommittee
DATE May 11, 1998
RE: Report on Legislative Affairs Subcommittee for 1997-98

The committee this year tracked several major issues on behalf of the faculty and helped coordinate representation to legislators in Olympia via our legislative representatives, the administration, and the Council of Faculty Representatives. The committee met biweekly during most of fall semester to anticipate the needs of the legislative session and then met weekly during the legislative session.
Primary issues included:

1) The budget
LAS established a list of priorities for the legislative session, which included the higher education endowment, funding for endowed professorships, and faculty development funding related to technology in the classroom. Completion of the cooperative library project was a priority later added. This was a supplemental budget year, and one during which legislators did not want to spend much if anything. As a result, it was difficult to provide effective advocacy for initiatives such as funding for endowed professorships and a higher education endowment. It was not possible to advocate for salary increases. We did end up with some success regarding endowed professorships and the cooperative library project, but the endowment for higher education was not successful. Although an endowment bill passed both houses of the legislature, it died when agreement could not be reached during conference. The committee worked with Carolyn Clark, our legislative representative in Olympia, to help lay the groundwork of better understanding among faculty and legislators that will help us to achieve a favorable budget in the next session,

2) WSU/EWU in Spokane
The legislature seriously considered options to increase WSU’s presence in Spokane and decrease the role of EWU in Spokane. The committee tracked this issue to make sure faculty would have a role in decision making based on whatever outcome the legislature and HEC Board would embrace.

3) Committees developed which will be making long-term decisions affecting higher education
The governor appointed a 2020 Commission on Higher Education, which will be advising on policy that will affect higher education in the state of Washington. The legislature founded a Roundtable session to be held in May to discuss how higher education should respond to issues of marketplace, cost and technology. The committee tracked this issue to make sure faculty would have input to these decision-making bodies.

4) Accountability and Assessment
This was the first year of the legislature's mandated accountability measures. The committee helped to coordinate efforts among faculty to develop better measures for accountability, including measures that better account for quality.

Memorandum
TO: Thomas Brigham, Executive Secretary, Faculty Senate
FROM: Kris Lishner, Chair, Research and Arts Committee
DATE: May 18, 1998
SUBJECT: 1997-1998 Annual Report from the Research and Arts Committee

The Research and Arts Committee served as the lead committee on the following actions that were sent to the Senate:

1. The proposal to change the name of the Shock Dynamics Center to the Institute of Shock Physics was passed the Senate on October 30, 1997.
2. The proposal for a Center for Entrepreneurial Studies was passed by the Senate on November 13, 1997.

The following items were also discussed by the committee:

1. **Natural Resource Conservation Endowment Fund:** A committee developed guidelines and procedures for distribution of money from this fund. A call for proposals was issued in early Spring 1998 and $1200 awarded to the recipient selected by the committee.

2. **Interim Vice Provost for Research:** Following his appointment by Provost Bataille, the committee discussed with Wes Leid, Interim Vice Provost for Research, his mission during his tenure in the position. He identified revision of the policies on intellectual property and Research Park issues, along with increasing visibility of Washington State University at the state and national levels as his highest priorities.

3. **5-Year Review of Organized Research Units:** W. Leid discussed existing guidelines for review of organized research units and presented a list of 33 centers, institutes and laboratories (CILs) to be reviewed. Upon his recommendation, the Washington State Water Research Center and the Institute of Biological Chemistry were approved by the committee as the first to be reviewed. Review of the Washington State Water Research Center was later tabled with the understanding that the Social and Economic Science Research Center would be reviewed instead. Members of the Research and Arts Committee were identified to represent the committee in the review process for the first two centers. The CIL review process initiated was suspended when W. Leid left the Interim Vice Provost for Research position. Reviews will be continued in Fall, 1998 in cooperation with the new Vice Provost for Research.

4. **OGRD Report:** The committee heard a presentation by Carol Zuiches on federal agencies funding trends over a 10 year period. Annual growth rates in academic research funding and how federal research and development funds are being used were discussed with the committee.

5. **Federal Government Relations:** Beverly Lingle, Assistant to the President for Federal Government Relations discussed her position and responsibilities with the committee. She answered members questions about projects she is currently working on to acquire funding and gave examples of past projects awarded to WSU.

6. **Vice Provost for Research:** In April, Gretchen Bataille, Provost and Academic Vice President, addressed the committee about her vision for research at WSU and the status of the Search for a Vice Provost for Research.

7. **Research Technology Park and Intellectual Property Administration:** Ken Spitzer gave the committee an update on the WSU Research and Technology Park and Office of Intellectual Property Administration since July 1, 1997. He reported on the facilities, occupancy level, additional personnel, financial status, number of disclosures, liability issues and technology transfers.

8. **Intellectual Properties Policy Revisions:** The committee reviewed the current draft of the Intellectual Properties Policy and provided comments and recommendations to the committee working on the draft.

9. **Science and Engineering Research Infrastructure Group (SERIAG):** W. Leid and Provost Bataille reviewed SERIAG and W. Leid reported to the committee that this group will not be continued in the future.
10. **Reports:** Dr. Belakavadi Ramaprian served as the Research and Arts representative on the Planning and Review Committee. The committee heard a report on the single meeting of the Planning and Review Committee this year.

11. **Election of a Committee Chair:** Dr. Belakavadi Ramaprian will serve as Committee Chair for 1998-1999.

---

**Annual Report-Faculty Senate Library Committee**

1997-98 Academic Year

Report submitted by Michael Myers, Co-chair

Members:

- Michael Myers (Co-chair)
- Debra Sanders (Co-chair)
- Stanton Linden
- Charlotte Omoto
- Robert Speth
- Marilyn Von Seggem
- Lynn Duprel (Undergraduate Student)
- Josh Overland (Undergraduate Student)
- Richard Tran (Graduate Student)
- Nancy Baker (Ex-officio)
- Nancy Wagner (Recorder)

This report will address each of the functions in turn of the Faculty Senate Library Committee (FSLC) as outlined in the *Washington State University Committee Manual*. Activities, accomplishments, and outstanding problems of 1997-98 will be emphasized; then, goals for the future will be highlighted.

1. **Formulates and recommends policies concerning library support services and operations.**

   The FSLC persisted in keeping in view its function of supporting library services and operations throughout the 1997-98 academic year. It was our experience that review of our charge was ever helpful in focusing our efforts and in overcoming obstacles to efficient functioning of the committee. It came to our attention, for example, that the growth of academic programs and degrees (and particularly the Extended Degree Program) was in danger of jeopardizing the library's ability to service new programs. Therefore, we recommended an increased budget line to the Senate Budget Committee. Curiously, there was some question on the part of both the administration and the library whether we should be making such a recommendation or not, but keeping our charge before us we properly made the recommendation. **It is a goal of the FSLC to continue to discharge our stated functions in 1998-99, and to remain a strong and objective voice of the faculty in the mix of interests and concerns about our university libraries.**

2. **Reviews and recommends library budget allocations and needs in consultation with the Senate Budget Committee.**

   The FSLC was successful in recommending (and receiving) a budget allocation increase for library personnel servicing the Extended Degree Program, as summarized above in (1), but was less successful in making recommendations in terms of library collections. In fact, one of our main tasks was to try to recover the charge to advise and make recommendations on library collection development policy and library book and journal
allocations. The Provost's Interim Steering Committee on Libraries, a large ad hoc committee of administrators and faculty, attempted to advise the Director of Libraries on library materials budget allocations. The Interim Steering Committee was successful in gaining badly needed extra funding for library serials subscriptions from the Provost's office. But the Interim Steering Committee moved beyond its charge and scope of expertise in an attempt to analyze the library's collection development policy- and book and journal budget allocation process. Infighting ensued among Interim Steering Committee members, for example, over questions of fairness in allocation between the natural sciences and the humanities. The library was not able, then, to receive sound advice over the general choice and application of allocation formulae, but was rather presented with a chorus of bickering opinions over details. The ineffectiveness of the Interim Steering Committee in this regard is evidenced by the fact that the Head Librarian was forced by time constraints to apply a formula with no consensus and little input from either the Interim Steering Committee or the FSLC. The Interim Steering Committee was disbanded in the Spring at the request of the Head Librarian and the agreement of the Provost. The faculty has a special stake in the allocation of funds for library materials, and it is a charge of the FSLC to represent the interests of the faculty and to give expert advisement to the library on budget matters.

It is a goal of the FSLC to give reasoned and helpful advisement to the library in regard to the budget allocation of library funds for books, journals, and other materials during 1998-99.

3. Consults with the Director of Libraries and makes recommendations regarding related problems identified by the Senate or the University community.

Rising costs of library materials remains a concern. The FSLC received from the Director of Libraries an offprint of an article from Policy Perspectives devoted to the problem of rising library costs and increasing demands for materials and services. The article provided insight into some of the dynamics of university libraries today; for example, the growth of electronic and traditional forms of information; the odd relationship of scholarly writers, commercial publishers, and academic customers; and the need for clarification of these changing relationships. We learned that the time is ripe for a general review and discussion of the problems and their larger contexts. For example, it is crucial that the kind of price gouging practiced successfully by some publishers of print media not be extended into electronic media. The print media problem itself needs to be addressed by the larger national and even world academic community. The Head Librarian has agreed to formulate a summary of the article from Policy Perspectives. It is a goal of the FSLC to respond to the summary and to work with the Head Librarian to achieve a larger understanding and solution to the acute problem of materials costs.

4. Reviews and recommends library services and performance.

The FSLC reviewed library services and performance this year using two measures: (1) the library self-study entitled WSU Libraries in the 21st Century: Library Use Survey, and (2) the ranking of the library among members of the Association of Research Libraries. The library's self-study gives a clear and attractive presentation of general campus satisfaction with the library, from professional staff to collections to facilities. The ranking of the library vis-a-vis other members of the Association of Research Libraries has been in steady decline for over a decade, however. We did experience a recent upswing when our branch campus libraries were brought into the calculation. But we need to work toward increasing library excellence, as is at least partially indicated by the ranking factors: numbers of volumes held, numbers of volumes added, numbers of current serials
received, total operating expenditures, and numbers of professional and support staff. The FSLC wrote a memo to the Provost seeking budgetary relief for these indicators. It is a goal of the FSLC to continue to help the library work toward excellence and to increase our standing among members of the Association of Research Libraries.

5. Reviews proposed degree programs for adequacy of library holdings and services.
The FSLC received and approved the following degree programs for adequacy of library holdings and services during 1997-98:

- Program in Sustainable Development Studies, Pullman
- BA in Criminal Justice, Statewide
- BS in Natural Resource Science, Vancouver
- BA in Human Development, Extended Degree Program
- MS in Landscape Architecture, Pullman and Spokane
- BA in Public Affairs, Vancouver
- NM in Interior Design, Spokane
- MS in Architecture, Spokane
- MA in Technology Management Extended Degree Program
- MA in Communications, Tri-Cities
- PhD in Communications, Pullman
- BS in Manufacturing Engineering, Vancouver

* * * * *

5. The Faculty Senate Calendar for 1998-1999 is as follows:
September 17; October 8 and 29; November 12; December 10
January 28; February 11; March 4; April 1, 15 and 29

6. Ten faculty from WSU participated in a meeting with the governor’s 2020 Commission at University of Washington on August 13, 1998. Those participating were: Robert Greenberg, David Stock, Carolyn Clark, Peter Burke, Stephen Hines, Kathy Beerman, Lorie Irving, Matt Carroll, Erica Austin and Denny Davis.

Announcements (Reports).

1. Remarks by the Chair.—R. Greenberg
Greenberg reported on activities of the Senate Leadership since May. The officers recently met with the Provost and discussed the Faculty Manual, 40% Reemployment Policy, (it is the understanding of the officers that no one has been denied this opportunity) Spokane programs, draft of the Electronic Publishing Policy, and Intellectual Property. A new committee has been appointed to review Intellectual Property and the faculty is represented by Ray August and Marc Farris. A new computing committee has been formed and the Senate representative is Wayne Joerding. The Provost Budget Policy Committee has representation from Jane Cote, Chair of the Budget Committee and Bob Greenberg, Chair of the Senate.

Conduct during Senate meetings: Much of the important work that happens in Senate really happens behind the scenes in committees. The Senate effort can be streamlined if the committees are used effectively. If a senator has input concerning issues that have
not yet come to the Senate contact the committee chair or members of the committee and let your concerns be known. Once and item is brought to the Senate for discussion and a senator still has concerns contact the chair of the committee presenting the item so that if language needs to be changed or deleted it can be done before it is brought back to the Senate as an action item. Greenberg stated that if things are handled in this manner it will avoid trying to generate new language on the floor of the Senate which sometimes is not clear and ill considered. It is better to have language changed in committee where it can be considered thoroughly and debated. However, if a senator feels the point he or she presented was not well taken and still feels strongly about it he or she can make a motion from the floor to amend. Greenberg asked people to please read the agenda and supporting material before coming to Senate and in that way it can help reduce the number of questions asked. Greenberg asked that each senator communicate with their constituency those items that are of concern to them and seek their opinions. Asked constituents about concerns they would like brought before the Senate during Constituents Concerns. This is often the first place issues are heard that concern faculty. It is a place where the Senate can have more free ranging discussion than when dealing with motions on the floor. Officers can often raise these issues in meetings with the administration and they can be worked out without having to bring a motion to the floor or conducting a study. When issues are raised during Constituents Concerns the discussion often helps gage the depth of a problem. Greenberg requested that Senators identify themselves and the constituency they represent when speaking in the Senate. Greenberg asked senators to please wait until the Chair recognizes them before speaking unless calling for a point of order. Greenberg stated he will try and limit the debate on the floor to the motion that is before the Senate. Greenberg asked that no one attack a member of the Senate or their motives.

Grantham asked about the status of the 40% Rehire what is the status of this right in the Faculty Manual and has anything changed over the summer. Greenberg stated nothing has changed.

2. Report from Legislative Representatives.—C. Clark, M. Carroll

There was no report.


Baker announced that WSU’s enrollments are up for fall semester which is great news. WSU’s budget is tied to the number of FTE and it is important that WSU’s enrollment figures stay up. WSU’s freshman class is up 19% and it is a significant increase which has helped us catch up to our contract rate. The quality of students has gone up. Forty percent of the freshmen class this year was in the top 10% of their graduating class. The admissions index number also went up this year. Baker reported this freshman class is a more diverse group then in years past which is good news also. Items helping to raise enrollment were the work of the enrollment management team, the scholars receptions held around the state, the summer alive programs, effective use of scholarships and the football team. Baker stated that University must work hard to keep the enrollments up. The higher education environment is changing very rapidly. Universities offering electronic delivery systems are becoming very popular and their enrollments are increasing rapidly. The environment for new students is very competitive. All the environmental turbulence that is going on, the competition, electronic universities, etc. has led to turmoil internally. Faculty are worried about intellectual property rights,
copyright law relative to electronic courses, computing and telecommunications, undergraduate excellence, tenure issues, accountability, assessment, electronic courses, branch campuses, learning centers, this is all a part of the higher education revolution. Baker stated there will probably be some structural changes in WSU to try and deal with some of these things. Baker stated the President is appointing a task force to study enrollment management and the Senate will have a major role in all of this because the Senate is in charge of the curriculum for the University and as such needs to think about what courses should we be offering and what courses should be dropped. Need, cost, quality and centrality are what a program should be judged by and this is what the Senate should look into when considering new programs.

Additions or Changes to the Agenda.

Discussion Item One was moved to Action.

Agenda Items (Action Items)

A motion was made to move this item to action.
Motion to move carried.
Moved from Discussion

1. Recommendation from Organization and Structure Committee for Faculty Senate
Constitutional changes Exhibit F is as follows:

MEMORANDUM
TO:   Faculty Senate
FROM:  Kenneth Kendall, Chair
Organization and Structure Committee
DATE:  September 6, 1998
SUBJECT:  Constitutional Changes

At a recent meeting of the Organization and Structure Committee the following
Constitutional changes were discussed and approved. At this time Faculty Senate
approval is recommended.

ARTICLE III

ORGANIZATION
Section 2: Officers

A. Officers The officers of the Senate shall include a Chair, a Vice Chair, the
immediate past Senate Chair, and an Executive Secretary.

B. Chair The Chair of the Senate shall be elected by and from the membership* of
the Senate, or from among those individuals who have served in the Senate within the
previous two four academic years or served as a member of the Faculty Senate Steering
Committee within the previous four years. The Chair shall preside over meetings of the
Senate.
C. Vice Chair  The Vice Chair of the Senate shall be elected by and from the membership* of the Senate, or from among those individuals who have served in the Senate within the previous two academic years or served as a member of the Faculty Senate Steering Committee within the previous four years. The Vice Chair shall assume the duties of the Chair in the absence of the Chair.

*i.e., both continuing Senators and those whose terms are expiring are eligible for election.

Rationale:
In the past three years the nominating committees for Senate officers have requested this change to the Constitution. The purpose of the change is to increase the pool of individuals qualified to run for Senate office.

E. Steering Committee  The standing committees of the Senate shall include a Steering Committee.

1. The duties of the Steering Committee shall include matters relating to agenda, referral, voting, and elections. The Steering Committee shall also act as an emergency advisory council to the President, and it may serve as a Summer Executive Committee to carry out functions delegated to it by the Senate. The Steering Committee will carry out all advisory functions of the Senate during the summer or any interval of three weeks or more when classes are not held during the academic year. Any such action by the Steering Committee requires that a meeting of the Steering Committee have at least six members and that at least 75 percent of the members present vote for the action. Any exercise of this general authority shall be reported by the Executive Secretary to the Faculty Senate at its next meeting.

Rationale:
The language recommended above was part of the Bylaws of the Faculty Senate until five years ago when it was removed along with other provisions of the Bylaws relating to specific responsibilities of standing committees. It was noted at that time that Article III, Section 3.E.1 includes a provision for delegation by the Senate to its Steering Committee (as a Summer Executive Committee) of such functions as it deems appropriate. In the absence of such a delegation, the Steering Committee would only be able to represent the Faculty with respect to a major policy issue after convening a special Senate meeting. It is probable that such a meeting would be powerless to act for lack of a quorum. Much important planning goes forward during the Summer and it is important that the Faculty voice be a part of that planning. Delegation of advisory powers to the Steering Committee provides an informed and effective channel for that voice.

2. The Chair, Vice Chair, and Executive Secretary of the Faculty Senate shall, _ipso facto_, be members and officers of the Steering Committee. It shall include the Chair of the Senate from the previous year and the chairs of the following committees: Academic Affairs, Budget, Extended University Affairs, Faculty Affairs, Graduate Studies, Planning Review, Research and Arts and Student Affairs. The Steering Committee shall include one elected member of each branch campus faculty
organization. It shall also include one graduate student selected by the Graduate and Professional Student Association and one undergraduate member who will be the Associated Students of Washington State University President or that President's designee.

Rationale:

The Committee felt the branch campuses have opportunities and problems that are unique to their setting and need a local faculty organization. These organizations need to have a link between their organization and the Faculty Senate. It was felt the Steering Committee was the best way of accomplishing this link.

* * * * *

Results are as follows: It takes 50 Yes votes to pass an amendment:

- Section 2.B. Qualifications for Chair 47 Yes 5 No Motion failed
- Section 2.C. Qualifications for Vice Chair 46 Yes 6 No Motion failed
- Steering Committee Summer Authority 46 Yes 6 No Motion failed
- Members of the Steering Committee 44 Yes 8 No Motion failed

All four motions failed.

Agenda Items. (Discussion Items)

2. Recommendation from Academic Affairs Committee to Extend the BS in Natural Resource Sciences and WSU Vancouver (Exhibit D). – K. Struckmeyer

There was no discussion of this item.

Budget, Library and Extended University Affairs Committees have approved this degree.

3. Recommendation from Academic Affairs Committee for the Program in Sustainable Development (Exhibit E). – K. Struckmeyer

There was no discussion of this item.

Budget, and Library Committees have also approved this program.

Constituents' Concerns.

H. Hinman expressed concern about the 40% rehire, is it a right or a privilege? His constituents Cooperative Extension have concerns that the 40% is just for teaching and research and not for people in Extension. Hinman said his constituents feel this should cover all faculty regardless of appointment. Spangenberg stated that Faculty Affairs discussed this issue at length and felt it was a right. However, the department chair has the right to choose what course will be taught by the faculty member and the time of day they will be taught and which semester they
will be taught in. If the faculty member does not agree with the chair’s decision then there is no contract. J. Zuiches, Dean of Agriculture and Home Economics stated that there is a federal policy which says when a faculty member in cooperative extension funded by federal funds retires they cannot come back to cooperative extension.

Grantham asked the status of Post Tenure Review. Greenberg stated that nothing has formally changed. There is a report and it has not been referred to a standing committee.

Plumb raised concern about the number of freshman in Math 101. Chambers stated that in checking her advisees tests scores she had her advisees placed in Math 107. The instruments used in measuring ability may not be working and it may need to be checked into. Salusso stated her students had strong math backgrounds and she felt the math placement exam screens out more students then it should.

Spangenberg stated that faculty in his constituency expressed concern as follows: “In a time when faculty are asked to do more with less and when there is only 2% average raises across campus how can the Regents justify a much larger raise for the President and what message does that communicate to the people? If we recognize that the University is doing better and parts of that is due to President Smith’s leadership there are still a lot of faculty and staff who are following that leadership and each doing their part. If the Regents are only praising the leader then we create this distribution of people responsible and people receiving the rewards.”

Adjournment.

Meeting adjourned at 4:45.

Thomas Brigham
Executive Secretary