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## Purpose of this Study

- This report summarizes work conducted AY 2019/2020 by the Salary Study Task Force, charged with the planning and oversight of an annual salary equity study of the WSU system.
- The study was motivated by the need to develop a robust statistical approach to be used as a model for a biannual analysis of salary equity for WSU employees, to guide data-driven recommendations for policy and processes that promote institutional salary equity, and to provide unit leadership with contextual information of the salaries of their employees.
- This study is a high-level review of WSU salary information.
- It shows trends in WSU that can be used to inform future institutional actions and policy decisions.
- However, it does not, in and of itself, demonstrate pay inequity in each instance.
- A pay inequity review requires analysis of all factors related to an individual's pay.


## Preview - Key Findings

- Summary statistics show a gender disparity in salaries and promotion.
- When controlling for variables including experience, academic rank, and field, career-track faculty women earn approximately 6.4 percent less than their male counterparts in the career-track sample. This result is statistically significant at the 99 percent level of confidence.
- When controlling for variables including experience, academic rank, and field, within tenure-line faculty, women earn 2.6 percent less annual salary than men, on average; this result is statistically significant at the 90 percent level of confidence.
- Summary statistics and regressions controlling for variables including experience, academic rank, and field show no ethnic/racial disparity regarding annual salary at WSU.
- Some control variables, most notably race/ethnicity, have missing data (see Introduction, below). Results may be limited by missing information.


## Data

- IR annual employment information on each individual faculty's pay rate, sex, tenure status, year of employment at WSU, full time equivalency (FTE), appointment (e.g. 9 or 12 mos.), faculty rank/title, academic unit \& college.
- Individual faculty ethnicity from the CCR at WSU.
- Part-time faculty ( $\mathrm{FTE}<0.75$ ) are dropped.
- Sample consists of 1,704 faculty members for the year 2019
- 966 tenure-line faculty members
- 738 career-track faculty members.
- Library faculty are not included in this analysis.

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics, Career-Track Faculty
ND = Not disclosed to preserve confidentiality (less than 5 individuals)

| Variable Name | Description | Male | Female |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salary | Yearly salary | $\begin{gathered} \$ 85,875 \\ (56031) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \$ 73,996 \\ & (40983) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Experience at WSU | Years employed at WSU | $\begin{gathered} 6.97 \\ (6.84) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 7.35 \\ (6.73) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |
| Director | 1 if Director, 0 otherwise | $\begin{gathered} 2.64 \% \\ (9 / 341) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.02 \% \\ (12 / 397) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Exec. Admin | 1 if Dean or Above, 0 otherwise | ND | ND |  |
| Administration | 1 if in Administration, 0 otherwise | $\begin{gathered} 2.35 \% \\ (8 / 341) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.02 \% \\ (8 / 397) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Assistant Research Professor | 1 if Assistant Research Prof., 0 otherwise | $\begin{gathered} 6.16 \% \\ (21 / 341) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.54 \% \\ (22 / 397) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Associate Research Professor | 1 if Assoc. Research Prof., 0 otherwise | ND | ND |  |
| Clinical Assistant Professor | 1 if Clinical Assist. Prof., 0 otherwise | $\begin{gathered} 22.29 \% \\ (76 / 341) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.43 \% \\ (97 / 397) \end{gathered}$ | In Career-Track faculty, |
| Clinical Associate Professor | 1 if Clinical Associate Prof., 0 otherwise | $\begin{aligned} & 16.13 \% \\ & (55 / 341) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.37 \% \\ (61 / 397) \end{gathered}$ | women make up 54\% |
| Clinical Professor | 1 if Clinical Professor, 0 otherwise | $\begin{gathered} 6.45 \% \\ (22 / 341) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.03 \% \\ (16 / 397) \end{gathered}$ | (3)7 women, 341 men). |
| Instructor | 1 if Instructor, 0 otherwise | $\begin{gathered} 19.65 \% \\ (67 / 341) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.97 \% \\ (115 / 397) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Research Associate | 1 if Research Assoc., 0 otherwise | $\begin{gathered} 11.44 \% \\ (39 / 341) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.56 \% \\ (30 / 397) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Research Professor | 1 if Research Prof., 0 otherwise | $\begin{aligned} & 1.76 \% \\ & (6 / 341) \end{aligned}$ | ND |  |
| Senior Instructor | 1 if Senior Instructor, 0 otherwise | $\begin{gathered} 2.93 \% \\ (10 / 341) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.55 \% \\ (26 / 397) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Staff Scientist | 1 if Staff Scientist, 0 otherwise | $\begin{gathered} 3.52 \% \\ (12 / 341) \end{gathered}$ | ND |  |
| Asian American | 1 if Asian Amer. 0 otherwise | $\begin{gathered} 4.99 \% \\ (17 / 341) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.55 \% \\ (26 / 397) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| African American | 1 if African Amer. 0 otherwise | $\begin{aligned} & 1.47 \% \\ & (5 / 341) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.26 \% \\ (5 / 397) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Decline to State | 1 if decline to state ethnicity, 0 otherwise | $\begin{gathered} 35.19 \% \\ (120 / 341) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.42 \% \\ (89 / 397) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Hispanic | 1 if Hispanic 0 otherwise | $\begin{gathered} 4.69 \% \\ (16 / 341) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.29 \% \\ (21 / 397) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Other | 1 if other ethnicity 0 otherwise | ND | ND |  |
| White | 1 if Caucasian 0 otherwise | $\begin{gathered} 53.08 \% \\ (181 / 341) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63.48 \% \\ (252 / 397) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |

Table 3: Descriptive Statistics, Tenure-Line Faculty
$\mathbf{N D}=\mathbf{N o t}$ disclosed to preserve confidentiality (less than 5 individuals)

| Variable Name | Description | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salary | Yearly salary | $\begin{gathered} \$ 128,968 \\ (64536) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \$ 106,929 \\ (47044) \end{gathered}$ |
| Experience | Years since final degree | $\begin{gathered} 21.06 \\ (11.05) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.84 \\ (10.13) \end{gathered}$ |
| Experience at WSU | Years of employment at WSU | $\begin{gathered} 14.51 \\ (10.59) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 12.15 \\ (9.57) \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Early Tenure | 1 if earned tenure in less than 6 years, 0 otherwise | $\begin{gathered} 23.82 \% \\ (146 / 613) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.20 \% \\ (36 / 353) \end{gathered}$ |
| Director | 1 if Director, 0 otherwise | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.10 \% \\ (19 / 613) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.27 \% \\ & (8 / 353) \end{aligned}$ |
| Chair | 1 if department chair, 0 otherwise | $\begin{gathered} 2.12 \% \\ (13 / 613) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.27 \% \\ & (8 / 353) \end{aligned}$ |
| Exec. Admin | 1 if Dean or above, 0 otherwise | $\begin{gathered} 2.61 \% \\ (16 / 613) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.27 \% \\ & (8 / 353) \end{aligned}$ |
| Assistant Professor | 1 if Assistant Prof. 0 otherwise | $\begin{gathered} 17.78 \% \\ (109 / 613) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28.61 \% \\ (101 / 353) \end{gathered}$ |
| Associate Professor | 1 if Assoc. Prof. 0 otherwise | $\begin{gathered} 34.26 \% \\ (210 / 613) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.68 \% \\ (133 / 353) \end{gathered}$ |
| Professor <br> Regents' Professor | 1 if Full Prof. <br> 0 otherwise <br> 1 if Regents Prof. <br> 0 otherwise | $43.56 \%$ $(267 / 613)$ $3.26 \%$ $(20 / 613)$ | $30.88 \%$ $(109 / 353)$ $1.13 \%$ $(4 / 353)$ |
| Asian American | 1 if Asian Amer. 0 otherwise | $\begin{gathered} \hline 14.03 \% \\ (86 / 613) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.93 \% \\ (28 / 353) \end{gathered}$ |
| African American | 1 if African Amer. 0 otherwise | $\begin{gathered} 0.98 \% \\ (6 / 613) \end{gathered}$ | ND |
| Decline to State | 1 if decline to state ethnicity, 0 otherwise | $\begin{gathered} 30.51 \% \\ (187 / 613) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.36 \% \\ (86 / 353) \end{gathered}$ |
| Hispanic | 1 if Hispanic 0 otherwise | $\begin{gathered} 2.28 \% \\ (14 / 613) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.97 \% \\ (14 / 353) \end{gathered}$ |
| Other | 1 if other ethnicity 0 otherwise | $\begin{gathered} 1.96 \% \\ (12 / 613) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.55 \% \\ & (9 / 353) \end{aligned}$ |
| White | 1 if Caucasian 0 otherwise | $\begin{gathered} 50.24 \% \\ (308 / 613) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60.62 \% \\ (214 / 353) \end{gathered}$ |

In tenure-line faculty, women only account for $36.5 \%$
(353 women, 613 men)

## Modeling Approach

- To analyze salary differences, we use the log of yearly salary as the dependent variable, adjusted for FTE.

$$
\ln (\text { salary })=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1 \text { female }}+\beta_{2} x_{2}+\cdots+\beta_{n x_{n}}+\varepsilon
$$

- Where $\beta_{1}$ is the coefficient of interest, which indicates female, $x_{2}$ to $x_{n}$ are explanatory variables, and $\varepsilon$ is a residual term.
- We estimate tenure-line and career-track faculty separately. We argue that withingroup comparisons for tenure-line and career-track faculty are more appropriate than between-group comparisons among the same groups.
- Caveat: Due to possible inherent biases in the control variables (e.g. gender biases in the hiring process, the promotion process, and awarding of tenure), it may be useful to estimate models where different control variables are omitted.
- For example, using faculty rank as a control variable may understate the gender wage gap by masking possible gender biases in the promotion process.

Table 4: OLS Regression Results for Career-Track Faculty
Dependent variable: $\log$ salary

| Variables | Full | Male | Female | Significant <br> Differences |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | $\begin{gathered} \hline-0.064^{* * *} \\ (0.019) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - |
| Experience at WSU | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-0.000 \\ & (0.001) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 0.001 \\ (0.002) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline-0.001 \\ & (0.002) \end{aligned}$ | No |
| Director | $\begin{gathered} 0.252^{* * *} \\ (0.085) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.212^{* *} \\ (0.091) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.430^{* * *} \\ (0.149) \end{gathered}$ | No |
| Exec. admin | $\begin{gathered} 0.930 * * * \\ (0.172) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.850 * * * \\ (0.271) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.078 * * * \\ (0.151) \end{gathered}$ | No |
| Rank (Asst. Res. Prof.) |  |  |  |  |
| Administration | $\begin{gathered} 0.542^{* * *} \\ (0.123) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.772 * * * \\ (0.139) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.166 \\ (0.191) \end{gathered}$ | Yes** |
| Associate Research | 0.174** | 0.138* | 0.290 | Yes*** |
| Professor | (0.069) | (0.079) | (0.180) |  |
| Clinical Assistant | 0.178*** | 0.128* | 0.243*** | Yes*** |
| Professor | (0.045) | (0.067) | (0.069) |  |
| Clinical Associate | 0.340*** | 0.334*** | 0.342*** | Yes*** |
| Professor | (0.047) | (0.070) | (0.070) |  |
|  | 0.628*** | 0.564*** | 0.706*** | Yes*** |
| Clinical Professor | (0.059) | (0.081) | (0.090) |  |
| Extension Director | 0.267** | 0.378** | 0.220* | No |
|  | (0.110) | (0.181) | (0.120) |  |
| Extension Regional | 0.023 | 0.114 | -0.058 | No |
| Specialist | (0.097) | (0.154) | (0.113) |  |
| Instructor |  |  | -0.039 | Yes*** |
|  | (0.048) | (0.070) | (0.073) |  |
| Research Associate | $-0.248^{* * *}$ | $-0.229 * * *$ | $-0.252^{* * *}$ | Yes** |
|  | (0.052) | (0.071) | (0.078) |  |
| Research Professor | 0.711*** | 0.713*** | 0.666*** | Yes** |
|  | (0.116) | (0.150) | (0.100) |  |
| Senior Instructor | -0.010 | -0.094 | 0.064 | Yes*** |
|  | (0.057) | (0.096) | (0.081) |  |
| Staff Scientist | $\begin{gathered} -0.031 \\ (0.094) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.034 \\ (0.102) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.019 \\ (0.103) \end{gathered}$ | Yes** |

- When controlling for variables including experience, academic rank, and field, career-track faculty women earn significantly less than their male counterparts in the career-track sample.


## Career-track results continued




Table 5: OLS Regression Results for Tenure-Track Faculty
Dependent variable: log salary

| Dependent variable: log salary | Full | Male | Female | Significant <br> Differences |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | $-0.026^{*}$ |  |  |  |
| Experience at WSU | $(0.015)$ | - | - | - |
|  | $-0.007^{* * *}$ | $-0.008^{* * *}$ | $-0.005^{* * *}$ |  |
| Director | $(0.001)$ | $(0.001)$ | $(0.002)$ | No |
|  | $0.277^{* * *}$ | $0.274^{* * *}$ | $0.282^{* * *}$ |  |
| Chair | $(0.049)$ | $(0.055)$ | $(0.104)$ | No |
|  | $0.374^{* * *}$ | $0.320^{* * *}$ | $0.400^{* * *}$ |  |
| Exec. admin | $(0.057)$ | $(0.073)$ | $(0.091)$ | No |
| Rank (Assistant Professor) | $0.723^{* * *}$ | $0.816^{* * *}$ | $0.587^{* * *}$ |  |
| Associate Professor | $(0.076)$ | $(0.081)$ | $(0.124)$ | No |
|  | $0.173^{* * *}$ | $0.194^{* * *}$ | $0.140^{* * *}$ |  |
| Extension E-2 | $(0.018)$ | $(0.023)$ | $(0.031)$ | No |
|  | 0.031 | $0.283^{* * *}$ | 0.012 |  |
| Extension E-3 | $(0.066)$ | $(0.094)$ | $(0.057)$ | Yes** |
|  | $0.129^{* *}$ | $0.124^{*}$ |  |  |
| Extension E-4 | $(0.059)$ | $(0.065)$ | - | No |
| Professor | $0.403^{* *}$ | 0.443 | $0.427^{*}$ |  |
| Regents' Professor | $(0.172)$ | $(0.279)$ | $(0.258)$ | No |
|  | $0.502^{* * *}$ | $0.529^{* * *}$ | $0.453^{* * *}$ |  |
|  | $(0.025)$ | $(0.030)$ | $(0.052)$ | No |
|  | $0.947^{* * *}$ | $1.023^{* * *}$ | $0.652^{* *}$ |  |
|  | $(0.083)$ | $(0.080)$ | $(0.296)$ | No |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Tenure-track results continued

Table 5: OLS Regression Results for Tenure-Track Faculty
Dependent variable: log salary

| Variables | Full | Male | Female | Significant <br> Differences |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location (Pullman) |  |  |  |  |
| Other | -0.004 | -0.037 | 0.031 |  |
|  | $(0.039)$ | $(0.050)$ | $(0.060)$ | No |
| Spokane | -0.056 | 0.010 | -0.119 |  |
|  | $(0.075)$ | $(0.084)$ | $(0.112)$ | No |
| Tri-Cities | -0.008 | -0.015 | -0.025 |  |
|  | $(0.036)$ | $(0.052)$ | $(0.055)$ | No |
| Vancouver | $-0.055^{* * *}$ | $-0.055^{*}$ | -0.051 |  |
| Ethnicity (White) | $(0.021)$ | $(0.029)$ | $(0.032)$ | No |
| Asian American |  |  |  |  |
|  | -0.011 | -0.016 | -0.028 |  |
| African American | $(0.024)$ | $(0.029)$ | $(0.046)$ | No |
|  | -0.050 | -0.062 | -0.104 |  |
| Decline to State | $(0.068)$ | $(0.049)$ | $(0.248)$ | No |
|  | 0.003 | -0.016 | 0.024 |  |
| Hispanic | $(0.017)$ | $(0.023)$ | $(0.030)$ | No |
|  | 0.065 | 0.011 | 0.102 |  |
| Other | $(0.042)$ | $(0.053)$ | $(0.067)$ | No |
|  | 0.035 | 0.055 | 0.058 |  |
|  | $(0.059)$ | $(0.088)$ | $(0.070)$ | No |

Table 5: OLS Regression Results for Tenure-Track Faculty

| Variables | Full | Male | Female | Significant Differences | Tenure-track results continued |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unit (Animal Sci.) renure-track resurts continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anthropology | $\begin{gathered} -0.431 * * * \\ (0.066) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.439 * * * \\ (0.081) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.455^{* * *} \\ (0.092) \end{gathered}$ | No |  |  |  |  |  |
| Apparel Merch Design \& | -0.187*** | -0.148* | -0.257*** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Textile | (0.060) | (0.080) | (0.076) | No |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arts | $\begin{gathered} -0.469 * * * \\ (0.064) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.429 * * * \\ (0.084) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.524 * * * \\ (0.091) \end{gathered}$ | No |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biological System | 0.235*** | 0.315*** | 0.029 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Engineering | (0.081) | (0.098) | (0.150) | No |  |  |  |  |  |
| Business | $\begin{gathered} 0.345 * * * \\ (0.067) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.381 * * * \\ (0.086) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.308 * * * \\ (0.092) \end{gathered}$ | No |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chemistry | $\begin{aligned} & -0.084 \\ & (0.065) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.052 \\ & (0.083) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.024 \\ & (0.133) \end{aligned}$ | No | Table 5: OLS Regression Results for Tenure-Track Faculty Dependent variable: log salary |  |  |  |  |
| Communication | $\begin{gathered} -0.133^{*} \\ (0.072) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.012 \\ (0.161) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.213^{* * *} \\ (0.080) \end{gathered}$ | No |  |  |  |  |  |
| Education | $\begin{gathered} -0.234 * * * \\ (0.061) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.210^{* * *} \\ (0.081) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.282 * * * \\ (0.075) \end{gathered}$ | No | Variables | Full | Male | Female | Significant <br> Differences |
| Engineering | $\begin{gathered} 0.039 \\ (0.061) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.083 \\ (0.079) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.004 \\ (0.084) \end{gathered}$ | No | Biological Sciences | $-0.143 * *$ $(0.067)$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.090 \\ & (0.086) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.211^{* *} \\ (0.096) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Entomology | 0.146 <br> (0.101) | $\begin{gathered} 0.279 * * \\ (0.116) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.273 * * * \\ (0.090) \end{gathered}$ | Yes*** | Economic Sciences | $\begin{gathered} (0.067) \\ 0.141^{* *} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (0.086) \\ 0.179^{* *} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (0.096) \\ 0.125 \\ (0.007) \end{gathered}$ | No |
| Extension | $\begin{gathered} -0.232 * * * \\ (0.066) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.140 \\ & (0.085) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.360 * * * \\ (0.087) \end{gathered}$ | Yes** | Food Sciences | $\begin{aligned} & (0.068) \\ & 0.151^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (0.089) \\ 0.167 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (0.097) \\ 0.146^{*} \end{gathered}$ | No |
| Human Development | $\begin{gathered} -0.210^{* * *} \\ (0.072) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.265^{* * *} \\ (0.095) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.244^{* * *} \\ (0.087) \end{gathered}$ | No |  | $\begin{gathered} (0.085) \\ -0.167 * * \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (0.119) \\ -0.191^{* *} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (0.084) \\ & -0.125 \end{aligned}$ | No |
| Humanities | $\begin{gathered} -0.347 * * * \\ (0.065) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.304 * * * \\ (0.087) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.387 * * * \\ (0.076) \end{gathered}$ | No | Sch of the Environment | $\begin{gathered} (0.069) \\ -0.245^{* * *} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (0.088) \\ -0.180^{* *} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (0.088) \\ -0.322^{* * *} \end{gathered}$ | No |
| Biological Chemistry | $\begin{aligned} & 0.184^{* *} \\ & (0.081) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.228^{* *} \\ (0.099) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.039 \\ & (0.070) \end{aligned}$ | Yes** | Sociology | $\begin{gathered} (0.064) \\ 0.196^{* * *} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (0.087) \\ 0.226^{* * *} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (0.072) \\ 0.176^{* *} \end{gathered}$ | No |
| Mathematics | $\begin{gathered} -0.279 * * * \\ (0.067) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.239 * * * \\ (0.087) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.325 * * * \\ (0.097) \end{gathered}$ | No | Veterinary Medicine | $\begin{gathered} (0.061) \\ 11.454^{* * *} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (0.081) \\ 11.413^{* * *} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (0.075) \\ 11.485^{* * *} \end{gathered}$ | No |
| Medicine | $\begin{gathered} 0.221^{* *} \\ (0.110) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.233 * \\ & (0.134) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.166 \\ (0.155) \end{gathered}$ | No | Constant <br> Observations | $\begin{gathered} 11.454^{* * *} \\ (0.059) \\ 968 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.413^{* * *} \\ (0.078) \end{gathered}$ $613$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.485 * * * \\ (0.068) \\ 355 \end{gathered}$ | - |
| Nursing | $\begin{gathered} 0.067 \\ (0.093) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.058 \\ & (0.288) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.043 \\ (0.112) \end{gathered}$ | No | Observations <br> R-square | $\begin{gathered} 968 \\ 0.739 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 613 \\ 0.756 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 355 \\ 0.715 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Pharmacy | $\begin{aligned} & 0.216^{* *} \\ & (0.099) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.206^{*} \\ & (0.116) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.104 \\ (0.181) \end{gathered}$ | No |  |  |  |  |  |
| Physical Sciences | $\begin{gathered} -0.088 \\ (0.076) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.055 \\ (0.091) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.117 \\ (0.073) \end{gathered}$ | No |  |  |  |  |  |
| Plant Sciences | $\begin{gathered} 0.093 \\ (0.064) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.176 * * \\ (0.082) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.051 \\ & (0.089) \end{aligned}$ | Yes* |  |  |  |  |  |
| Policy | $\begin{gathered} -0.344^{* * *} \\ (0.065) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.294^{* * *} \\ (0.088) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.405 * * * \\ (0.077) \end{gathered}$ | No |  |  |  |  |  |
| Psychology | $\begin{gathered} -0.229 * * * \\ (0.068) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.268^{* * *} \\ (0.096) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.238 * * * \\ (0.087) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | No |  |  |  |  |  |

## Recommendations

## Long-term practices

- A standing advisory committee should be established with representatives from CSW, AFW, Faculty Senate, APAC, and new commission on DEI in partnership with CCR, HRS, IR, Provost's Office, and the President's Office.
- Biannual study should be conducted by WSU Institutional Research with guidance from advisory committee following best practices/model developed during this analysis.
- College-level reports should be provided to Deans and Department Chairs to aid in decisions around salary and to provide explanations for outliers.
Immediate interventions
- Review practices that are potentially introducing inequity:
- Senior hires
- Early tenure
- Retention raises
- Initial salaries
- Administrative salaries/compensation.


## Limitations

- Summary statistics show that women are hired and promoted at lower rates than men.
- Including explanatory variables that may in themselves be biased against women means that our estimation results of salary gender bias are likely understated;
- When rank is omitted from the regression equation, we estimate that female faculty in tenuretrack earn almost 7 percent less than their male counterparts.
- The findings and conclusions in this study are based on a statistical analysis of aggregate data.
- Nothing in this report is based upon, or intended to be understood as, a statement or interpretation of law.
- Nothing in this report is based upon, or intended to be understood as, a determination or finding under any university policies.
- Individual concerns about pay equity require a more detailed review of the specific pay affecting factors that are present based on the context of that individual's employment.
- This factors-based analysis may include some, but not necessarily all, of the following nonexhaustive list: performance measures, productivity measures, job duties/responsibilities, relevant work experience, education, industry/job specific factors, and department specific factors, all of which were outside the scope of this study.


## Additional Analyses \& Next Steps

- Analyses of faculty salaries by college and campus are presented in the full report.
- We have started the staff analysis. Owing to the wide variety of positions, this analysis will be more complicated.


# Thank you for your attention. 

